Table.1 Demographic data of study populations

Variable	Summary statistics
Age/year	
Mean ± SD	44.13±9.78
Median (range)	45 (18-59)
Gender	
Males	63 (63.00%)
Females	37 (37.00%)
Hypertension	
No	67 (67.00%)
Yes	33 (33.00%)
DM	
No	69 (69%)
Yes	31 (31.00%)
Treatment	
No	69 (69.00%)
Oral hypoglycemic	13 (13.00%)
Insulin	18 (18.00%)
IHD	
No	97 (97%)
Yes	3 (3.00%)
Stages of CKD	
1	10 (10.00%)
2	10 (10.00%)
3	10 (10.00%)
4	10 (10.00%)
5	60 (60.00%)
Dialysis duration if stage=5D	
Mean ± SD	6.58±3.09
Median (range)	5 (2 – 16)
Bone density	
Normal	55 (55.00%)
Osteopenia	29 (29.00%)
Osteoporosis	13 (13.00%)
Osteomalacia	3 (3.00%)

Table.2 Biochemical profile of all study population

·	ne of all study population
Variable	Summary statistics
Parathyroid hormone (PTH)	
$Mean \pm SD$	481.92±608.05
Median (range)	202.2 (12.7-1900)
Total calcium	
$Mean \pm SD$	8.69±1.30
Median (range)	8.4 (6.6-12.5)
Ionized calcium	
$Mean \pm SD$	1.04±0.21
Median (range)	1.00 (0.7-1.85)
Po4	
Mean ± SD	5.47±1.62
Median (range)	5.45 (2.8-10.9)
Triglyceride	
Mean ± SD	149.25±85.57
Median (range)	121.5 (46-514)
Cholesterol	
Mean ± SD	151.79±36.85
Median (range)	142.5 (80-295)
LDL	
$Mean \pm SD$	74.39±23.14
Median (range)	70 (34-155)
HDL	
Mean ± SD	47.31±12.62
Median (range)	44.5 (21-89)
VLDL	
Mean ± SD	28.98±15.85
Median (range)	24 (9-103)
` 5 /	` /

Table.3 Echocardiographic findings of all study population

Variable	Summary statistics
LVH	
No	49 (49.00%)
Yes	51 (51.00%)
EF	, , ,
Mean ± SD	59.92±6.93
Median (range)	60 (41-76)
E/A ratio	, , ,
No diastolic dysfunction	47 (47.00%)
Diastolic dysfunction	53 (53.00%)
Rt. Side	, , ,
Normal	95 (95.00%)
Dilated	5 (5.00%)
IVS	
Mean ±SD	$1.69 \pm 0.46$
Median (range)	1.7 (1.00-2.80)
LVEDd	
Mean ± SD	4.90±0.97
Median (range)	4.8 (2.75-6.93)
LA diameter	
Normal	81 (81.00%)
Dilated	19 (19.00%)
M valve	
Normal	64 (64.00%)
Mild regurge	32 (32.00%)
Rheumatic	4 (4.00%)
T valve	
Normal	75 (75.00%)
Mild regurge	23 (23.00%)
Rheumatic	2 (2.00%)
A valve	
Normal	91 (91.00%)
Mild regurge	4 (4.00%)
Rheumatic	5 (5.00%)

Table.4 Echocardiographic findings of all study population, continued

Variable	Summary statistics
PHTN	
No	93 (93.00%)
Yes	7 (93.00%)
Valve calcification	
No	95 (95.00%)
Yes	5 (5.00%)
Pericardial disease	
No	86 (86.00%)
Yes	14 (14.00%)
Regular wall motion	
Normal	83 (83.00%)
Hypokinesia	17 (17.00%)
RHD	
No	94 (94.00%)
Yes	6 (6.00%)

Table.5 Prevalence of hyperparathyroidism of all study population

Variable	Summary statistics
Hyperparathyroidism	
No	34 (34.00%)
Yes	66 (66.00%)

Table 5 and Figure 1 show that the prevalence of hyperparathyroidism was (66.00%) in the study.

Table.6 Relation between CKD stage and bone density of study populations

Variable	Stage (1- 2)	Stage (3- 4)	Stage (5)	P	P1	P2	Р3
Bone density Normal Osteopenia Osteoporos is Osteomala cia	13 (65.00%) 6 (30.00%) 1 (5.00%) 0	12 (60.00%) 4 (20.00%) 3 (15.00%) 1 (5.00%)	30 (50.00%) 19 (31.67%) 9 (15.00%) 2 (3.33%)	0.73	0.49	0.47	0.78

P compared the 3 groups, P1 compare stage (1-2) to stage (3-4), P2 compare stage (1-2) to stage (5), and P3 compare stage (3-4) to stage (5)

Table.7 Relation between CKD stage and biochemical profile of study

populations

Variable	Stage (1-2)	Stage (3-4)	Stage (5)	P	P1	P2	Р3
PTH							
$Mean \pm SD$	66.54±45.80	142.04±45.8	733.7±673.1	0.0001	0.10	0.0001	0.0001
Median (range)	56.55 (12.7-	91.45 (20.4-	438.9 (27.3-				
	210.8)	393.9)	1900)				
Total calcium							
$Mean \pm SD$	9.44±1.02	$8.32 \pm 0.98$	8.57±1.39	0.01	0.02	0.02	1.00
Median (range)	9.5 (7.9-11.8)	8.05 (6.8-10.2)	8.1 (6.6-12.5)				
Ionized calcium							
$Mean \pm SD$	1.16±0.15	$0.98\pm0.17$	$1.02\pm0.22$	0.001	0.001	0.0009	0.63
Median (range)	1.2 (0.9-1.5)	0.91 (0.8-1.82)	1.0 (0.7-1.85)				
Po4							
$Mean \pm SD$	4.16±1.00	5.49±1.15	5.91±1.68	0.0001	0.01	< 0.0001	0.85
Median (range)	4.1 (2.8-6.1)	5.75 (3.1-7.8)	5.9 (3.0-10.9)				
Triglyceride							
Mean ± SD	130.9±59.02	142.4±94.39	157.7±89.95	0.51	0.88	0.31	0.92
Median (range)	121 (60-274)	117.5 (46-446)	125.5 (51-514)				
Cholesterol							
$Mean \pm SD$	159.5±50.34	147.5±31.37	150.7±33.43	0.87	0.92	0.92	0.52
Median (range)	144.5 (95-270)	138 (117-247)	145 (80-295)				
LDL							
$Mean \pm SD$	74.1±20.68	$68.85\pm20.58$	76.85±24.70	0.45	0.42	0.65	0.22
Median (range)	63.5 (50-110)	63 (40-117)	70 (34-155)				
HDL							
$Mean \pm SD$	53.2±17.77	46.7±11.73	45.5±10.30	0.06	0.30	0.06	1.00
Median (range)	47 (34-89)	42.5 (32-66)	44 (21-71)				
VLDL				•			
$Mean \pm SD$	25.7±11.80	28.45±18.81	30.25±16.04	0.53	0.97	0.30	0.46
Median (range)	23.5 (12-55)	23.5 (9-89)	24 (12-103)				

P compared the 3 groups, P1 compare stage (1-2) to stage (3-4),P2 compare stage (1-2) to stage (5), and P3 compare stage (3-4) to stage (5)

Table.8 Relation between CKD stage and ECG findings of study populations

1 abic.o ixciation	between Cixi	b stage and	ECG IIIIdilig	o or stuu,	popula	tions	
Variable	Stage (1-2)	Stage (3-4)	Stage (5)	P	P1	P2	P3
LVH							
No	19 (95.00%)	12 (60.00%)	35 (58.33%)	0.009	0.02	0.002	1.00
Yes	1 (5.00%)	8 (40.00%)	25 (41.67%)				
RVH							
No	18 (90.00%)	20 (100%)	58 (96.67%)	0.25	0.45	0.26	1.00
Yes	2 (10.00%)	0	2 (3.33)				
P wave morphology	,						
Normal	20 (100%)	20 (100%)	53 (88.33%)	0.08	1.0	0.18	0.18
P mitral	0	0	7 (11.67%)				
Ischemia							
No	16 (80.00%)	19 (95.00%)	48 (80.00%)	0.27	0.34	1.00	0.17
Yes	4 (20.00%)	1 (5.00%)	12 (20.00%)				
Rate	,		, ,				
Normal	19 (95.00%)	17 (75.00%)	56 (93.33%)	0.42	0.61	1.00	0.36
Sinus tachycardia	1 (5.00%)	3 (15.00%)	4 (6.67%)				
Arrhythmia							
Normal	17 (85.00%)	20 (100%)	54 (90.00%)	0.08	0.23	0.10	0.75
AF	3 (15.00%)	0	2 (3.33%)				
PVC	1 (5.00%)	0	4 (6.67%)				

P compared the 3 groups, P1 compare stage (1-2) to stage (3-4),P2 compare stage (1-2) to stage (5), and P3 compare stage (3-4) to stage (5)

Table.9 Relation between CKD stage and echocardiographic findings of study

populations

		populau					
Variable	Stage (1-2)	Stage (3-4)	Stage (5)	P	P1	P2	Р3
LVH							
No	17 (85.0%)	12 (60.0%)	20 (33.33%)	< 0.0001	0.08	< 0.0001	0.04
Yes	3 (15.0%)	8 (40.0%)	40 (66.67%)				
EF	Ź	, , ,	Ì				
Mean ± SD	63.6±4.04	60±6.31	58.71±7.54	0.03	0.32	0.02	1.00
Median (range)	63.5 (53-70)	57.5 (52-74)	57.5 (41-76)				
E/A ratio	, ,		,				
No diastolic dysfunction	19 (95.0%)	11 (55.0%)	17 (28.33%)	< 0.0001	0.003	< 0.0001	0.03
Diastolic dysfunction	5 (5.0%)	9 (45.0%)	43 (71.67%)				
Rt. Side	ì	, , ,	Ì				
Normal	19 (95.00)	19 (95.0%)	57 (95.0%)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Dilated	1 (5.00%)	1 (5.00%)	3 (5.0%)				
IVS	Ź	, , ,	Ì				
Mean ±SD	1.56±0.56	1.68±0.54	1.73±0.39	0.21	0.56	0.06	0.71
Median (range)	1.4 (1.09-	1.7 (1.0-2.5)	1.8 (1.0-2.4)				
	2.8)	, ,	, ,				
LVEDd							
$Mean \pm SD$	4.49±0.86	4.61±0.80	5.13±0.99	0.01	1.00	0.02	0.10
Median (range)	4.47 (3.02-	4.7 (3.02-	5.2 (2.75-				
( 0 )	6.1)	5.88)	6.93)				
LA diameter							
Normal	18 (80.0%)	17 (85.0%)	46 (76.67%)	0.37	1.00	0.33	0.54
Dilated	2 (10.00%)	3 (15.00%)	14 (23.33%)				
M valve							
Normal	16 (80.0%)	15 (75.0%)	33 (55.0%)	0.046	0.19	0.03	0.45
Mild regurge	2 (10.0%)	5 (25.0%)	25 (41.67%)				
Rheumatic	2 (10.0%)	0	2 (3.33%)				
T valve							
Normal	20 (100%)	19 (95.0%)	36 (60.00%)	0.001	0.31	0.003	0.01
Mild regurge	0	1 (5.0%	22 (36.67%)				
Rheumatic	0	0	2 (3.33%)				
A valve							
Normal	17 (85.0%)	20 (100%)	54 (90.0%)	0.51	0.20	0.73	0.34
Mild regurge	1 (5.0%)	0	3 (5.00%)				
Rheumatic	2 (10.0%)	0	3 (5.00%)				

Rheumatic 2 (10.0%) 0 3 (5.00%) P compared the 3 groups, P1 compare stage (1-2) to stage (3-4),P2 compare stage (1-2) to stage (5), and P3 compare stage (3-4) to stage (5)

Table.10 Relation between CKD stage and echocardiographic findings of study populations, continued

	ľ	opuianons,	Continucu				
Variable	Stage (1-2)	Stage (3-4)	Stage (5)	P	P1	P2	Р3
PHTN							
No	20 (100%)	19	54	0.29	1.00	0.33	0.67
Yes	0	(95.0%) 1 (5.0%)	(90.00%) 6 (10.33%)				
Valve calcification							
No	20 (100%)	20 (100%)	55	0.17	1.0	0.32	0.32
Yes	0	0	(91.67%) 5 (8.33%)				
Pericardial disease							
No	19	17	50	0.42	0.61	0.28	1.00
Yes	(95.0%)	(85.0%)	(83.33%)				
	1 (5.00%)	3	10				
		(15.00%)	(16.67%)				
Regular wall motion							
Normal	20 (100%)	20 (100%)	43	0.001	1.00	0.005	0.005
Hypokinesia	0	0	(71.67%)				
			17				
			(28.33%)				
RHD							
No	18	20 (100%)	56	0.39	0.49	0.64	0.57
Yes	(90.0%)	0	(93.33%)				
	2 (10.0%)		4 (6.67%)				

P compared the 3 groups, P1 compare stage (1-2) to stage (3-4),P2 compare stage (1-2) to stage (5D), and P3 compare stage (3-4) to stage (5)