Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied university students

| Variable |  | Summary <br> $(\mathrm{n}=410)$$\quad$ statistics <br> no. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% |
| Age (yrs) | $\leq 20$ | 214 | 52.2 |
|  | >20 | 196 | 47.8 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.D | $20.26 \pm 1.38$ |  |
|  | Median | 20 |  |
|  | Range | (17-24) |  |
| Gender | Male | 221 | 53.9 |
|  | Female | 189 | 46.1 |
| Marital status | Single | 396 | 96.6 |
|  | Married | 14 | 3.4 |
| Field ofstudy | Faculty of Science | 100 | 24.4 |
|  | Faculty <br> Nursing | 100 | 24.4 |
|  | Faculty of Education | 105 | 25.6 |
|  | Faculty of Arts | 105 | 25.6 |
| Grade of university | First grade | 101 | 24.6 |
|  | Second grade | 103 | 25.1 |
|  | Third grade | 106 | 25.9 |
|  | Fourth grade | 100 | 24.4 |
| Residence | Urban | 214 | 52.2 |
|  | Rural | 196 | 47.8 |
| Living | With family | 308 | 75.1 |
|  | With friends | 74 | 18 |
|  | With relatives | 19 | 4.6 |
|  | Alone | 9 | 2.3 |

Table (2) Physical assessment and current lifestyle of the studied university students

| Variables |  | Summary statistics (n=410) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% |  |
| Height | $150-<165$ | 169 | 41.2 |
|  | $165-<180$ | 207 | 50.5 |
|  | $180-195$ | 34 | 8.3 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.D | $166.96 \pm 7.84$ |  |
|  | Median (Range) | $165(150-195)$ |  |
| Weight | $50-<70$ | 200 | 48.8 |
|  | $70-<90$ | 196 | 47.8 |
|  | $90-110$ | 14 | 3.4 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.D | $69.5 \pm 11.53$ |  |
|  | Median (Range) | $70(50-110)$ |  |
| BMI | Under weight | 11 | 2.7 |
|  | Normal weight | 204 | 49.8 |
|  | Over weight | 146 | 35.5 |
|  | Obese | 49 | 12 |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.D | $24.97 \pm 4.05$ |  |
|  | Median (Range) | $24.7(12.43-42.42)$ |  |
| Pegular check | Up | Yes | 61 |
| Perception of |  |  |  |
| health situation | No | 349 | 14.9 |
|  | Bad | Moderate | 19 |

Table (3): Distribution of the studied university students' HPLP II
Domains score

| HPLP II domains | Summary statistics (n=410) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.D | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
| Health responsibility | $22.16 \pm 4.81$ | 22 | 10 | 35 |
| Physical activity | $18.57 \pm 4.73$ | 19 | 8 | 31 |
| Nutrition | $25.75 \pm 4.95$ | 26 | 11 | 36 |
| Spiritual growth | $24.93 \pm 5.46$ | 25 | 9 | 36 |
| Interpersonal <br> relationships | $24.1 \pm 4.62$ | 23 | 12 | 36 |
| Stress management | $20.5 \pm 4.28$ | 20 | 9 | 31 |
| Total HPLP II | $132.86 \pm 20.41$ | 131.5 | 78 | 187 |

Table (4) Distribution of grades of HPLP II score the studied university students

| Grade | Summary statistics (n=410) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No. | $\%$ |
| Poor (52-90) | 6 | 1.3 |
| Moderate (91-129) | 182 | 40.5 |
| Good (130-168) | 206 | 45.9 |
| Excellent (169-208) | 16 | 3.6 |

Table (5): Relation between grades of HPLP II score and sociodemographic characteristics of the studied university students

| Variable |  | Grades of HPLP II |  | P-value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Poor to Moderate (52-129) <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=188$ ) <br> (41.8\%) | Good to Excellent (130-208) $(n=222)$ <br> (49.5) |  |
|  |  | No. (\%) | No. (\%) |  |
| Age | $\leq 20$ | 100(46.7\%) | 114 (53.3\%) | 0.71 |
|  | >20 | 88(44.9\%) | 108 (55.1\%) |  |
| Gender | Male | 94(42.5\%) | 127 (57.5\%) | 0.14 |
|  | Female | 94(49.7\%) | 95 (50.3\%) |  |
| Marital status | Single | 180(45.5\%) | 216 (54.5\%) | 0.38 |
|  | Married | 8 (57.1\%) | 6 (42.9\%) |  |
| Field of study | Faculty of Science | 47 (47\%) | 53 (53\%) | <0.0001 |
|  | Faculty of Nursing | 31 (31\%) | 69 (69\%) |  |
|  | Faculty of Education | 45 (42.9\%) | 60 (57.1\%) |  |
|  | Faculty of Arts | 65 (61.9\%) | 40 (38.1\%) |  |
| Grade of university | First grade | 53 (52.5\%) | 48 (47.5\%) | 0.004 |
|  | Second grade | 32 (31.1\%) | 71 (68.9\%) |  |
|  | Third grade | 49 (46.2\%) | 57 (53.8\%) |  |
|  | Fourth grade | 54 (54\%) | 46 (46\%) |  |
| Residence | Urban | 96(44.9\%) | 118 (55.1\%) | 0.67 |
|  | Rural | 92 (46.9\%) | 104 (53.1\%) |  |
| Current Living | With family | 148(48.1\%) | 160 (51.9\%) | 0.22 |
|  | With friends | 26 (35.1\%) | 48 (64.9\%) |  |
|  | With relatives | 9 (47.4\%) | 10 (52.6\%) |  |
|  | Alone | 5 (55.6\%) | 4 (44.4\%) |  |

[^0]Table (6): Relation between grades of HPLP II score and physical assessment and current lifestyle of the studied university students.

| Variable |  | Grades 0 | LP II score | Pvalue* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Poor to Moderate } \\ & (52-129) \\ & (\mathbf{n}=188) \\ & (41.8 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Good to Excellent (130-208) $(\mathrm{n}=222)$ <br> (49.5) |  |
|  |  | No. (\%) | No. (\%) |  |
| Height | 150 - <165 | 75 (44.4\%) | 94 (55.6\%) | 0.82 |
|  | 165-<180 | 98 (47.3\%) | 109(52.7\%) |  |
|  | 180-195 | 15 (44.1\%) | 19 (55.9\%) |  |
| Weight | 50-<70 | 101 (50.5\%) | 99 (49.5\%) | 0.04 |
|  | 70-<90 | 84 (42.9\%) | 112 (57.1\%) |  |
|  | 90-110 | 3 (21.4\%) | 11 (78.6\%) |  |
| BMI | Underweight | 101 (49.5\%) | 103 (50.5\%) | 0.4 |
|  | Normal | 21 (42.9\%) | 28 (57.1\%) |  |
|  | Over weight | 60 (41.1\%) | 86 (58.9\%) |  |
|  | Obese | 6 (54.5\%) | 5 (45.5\%) |  |
| Regular check | Yes | 19 (31.1\%) | 42 (68.9\%) | 0.01 |
|  | No | 180 (51.6\%) | 169 (48.4\%) |  |
| Perception of health situation | Bad | 14 (73.7\%) | 5 (26.3\%) | 0.06 |
|  | Moderate | 68 (47.6\%) | 75 (52.4\%) |  |
|  | Good | 76 (41.8\%) | 106 (58.2\%) |  |
|  | Very good | 30 (45.5\%) | 36 (54.5\%) |  |

*P-value is calculated by Chi-Square Test

## Table (7) Final model of logistic regression

| Independent item | Reference group | OR | 95\% CI |  | P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |
| Field of study Faculty of Nursing Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts | Faculty of Science | $\begin{array}{r} 0.51 \\ 0.87 \\ 1.94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.27 \\ 0.48 \\ 1.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.97 \\ 1.56 \\ 3.51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.64 \\ & 0.02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade of university Second grade Third grade Fourth grade | First grade | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 0.93 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.18 \\ 0.37 \\ 0.51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.62 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <0.0001 \\ & 0.2 \\ & 0.83 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & 70-<90 \\ & 90-110 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $50-<70$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03 \\ & 0.02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64 \\ & 0.04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1.65 \\ 0.82 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88 \\ & 0.02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular check-up } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ | No | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.88 | 0.02 |
| Perception of health situation <br> Moderate <br> Good <br> Very good | Bad | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 0.63 \\ & 0.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.006 \\ & 0.009 \end{aligned}$ |


[^0]:    *P-value is calculated by Chi-Square Test

