Association Between Antinuclear Antibodies and Interstitial Lung Diseases in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
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Abstract:
Introduction: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a disease primarily affecting women in their child-bearing years. SLE can affect any component of the respiratory system and manifest with pleuritis (with or without effusion), interstitial lung disease (ILD), alveolar hemorrhage, diaphragmatic weakness, pulmonary hypertension, airways disease, or pulmonary embolism. ILD occurs with a lower frequency in SLE compared with other connective tissue disease (CTD) subtypes. It is not known whether SLE-ILD differs from ILD in other CTD subtypes. Aim of the work: estimate the possible relationship between interstitial lung diseases and different antinuclear antibodies among patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.

Patients and Methods: Cross-sectional clinical study. A total of 100 SLE patients attending to Sohag University Hospitals will be included in the study. Patients included in this study will be classified as SLE patients according to either the 2012 SLICC criteria or the new 2017 ACR/EULAR SLE classification criteria.

Results: ANA (antinuclear antibody) positivity, chest manifestations like (cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever & hemoptysis) are predictive variates for SLE-ILD.

Conclusion: We observed a population of SLE-ILD and concluded that ANA (antinuclear antibody) positivity, chest manifestations like (cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever & hemoptysis) are predictive variates for SLE-ILD. Also, ANAIF speckled pattern has specificity to SLE-ILD and AntiSS-A/Ro52KD antibody is more specific to SLE-ILD. We recommend HRCT and PFT scans in these SLE patients.
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Introduction
Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease, primarily affecting women in their child-bearing years (1).

SLE can affect any component of the respiratory system and manifest with pleuritis (with or without effusion), interstitial lung disease (ILD), alveolar hemorrhage, diaphragmatic weakness, pulmonary hypertension, airways disease, or pulmonary embolism (2). ILD occurs with a lower frequency in SLE compared with other connective tissue disease (CTD) subtypes. It is not known whether SLE-ILD differs from ILD in other CTD subtypes (3). The true prevalence of SLE-ILD is unknown, and estimates vary depending on the study design, ILD case definition, and study population. In one of the largest studies of biopsy-proven CTD-associated ILD, among 93 subjects, Park and colleagues observed 30% had rheumatoid arthritis (RA), 38% had systemic sclerosis (SSc), and only 1% had SLE (4).

In a review of 90 SLE autopsies from 1958-2006, Quadrelli et al. noted that while 97.8% of patients had some form of pleuropulmonary involvement, the
majority of parenchymal lesions were not attributable to SLE; strikingly, in their series, there was no case of acute or chronic SLEILD detected (5). Clinically apparent ILD is far less common in SLE than in other CTD, including RA, SSc, primary Sjogren’s syndrome and poly/dermato-myositis. Across studies, the frequency of clinically significant ILD in SLE ranges from 1-15% (6, 7). There is no reliable serologic correlate for ILD in patients with SLE. Case series and cross-sectional studies have suggested a link between specific autoantibodies and ILD, specifically anti-Ro, anti-Sm, and anti-RNP (3). Findings from a study by Yee and colleagues challenge the relationship between autoantibodies and SLE-ILD: in a multivariate analysis of data from 348 subjects, increasing age and greater disease duration, but not autoantibodies, were associated with overall pulmonary damage (8).

Aim of the work:
The aim of this study is to estimate the possible relationship between interstitial lung diseases and different antinuclear antibodies among patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.

Patients and Methods:
Design: an atelectatic clinical study.
Patients:
A total of 100 SLE patients attending to Sohag University Hospitals will be included in the study. Patients included in this study will be classified as SLE patients according to either the 2012 SLICC criteria or the new 2017 ACR/EULAR SLE classification criteria.

Methods:
- All of the participants will be subjected to the following:
  o Full history (demographic data and personal history, detailed history of general health condition and chronic or current diseases).
  o General and systemic examination.
  o Carful chest history and examination.
  o Laboratory investigations including routine investigations (CBC, liver and renal functions).
  o PFT (pulmonary function tests).
  o Imaging studies (Chest X-ray and high-resolution CT scan) for patients suspected to have chest problems.
  o The abdominal US and Renal biopsy.
  o ANA detection by immunofluorescense and ANA profile using immunoblot method

Results
The mean age of our study group was 37 years/- SD 12 years. 89% of our study group were females. 51% of our study group were from rural areas. Regarding SLE manifestations, 49% of our cases had a malar rash, only 1% had DLE, 76% had photosensitivity, 80% had oral or nasal ulcer, 8% had alopecia, 95% had arthritis, 32% had pleurisy, 5% had pericarditis, and only 4% had neurologic manifestations. 87% of our cases had a cough, 33% had expectoration, 98% had dyspnea grade 2 to 3, 63% had chest pain, 38% had fever, and no one had hemoptysis. Mean ESR was 47, range from 10 to 145, mean P/C ratio (protein creatinine ratio) was 0.2, range from 0.01 to 2.6, mean ALT was 16, range from 3 to 73. Mean AST was 17 and range from 4 to 62, and mean S.creatinine was 0.6, range from 0.3 to 1.9. Mean PLT (platelets) was 243, range from 17 to 596, mean MCV (mean corpuscular volume) was 78.9, range from 60 to 93, mean HB (hemoglobin) was 11.3, range from 7 to 14 and mean WBCs (white blood cells) was 6.8 and range from 1.2 to 17.3.
Urine analysis of our cases shows urine pus was present in 1% only of our cases, the cast was granular in 3% of cases and hyaline in 7% of them. Urine albumin was in 45% of cases, and sugar wasn’t present in any case. Renal biopsy shows that 31% of our cases had class 2, 5% had class 2&3, 3% had class 3, 3% had class 4, and 58% of cases had no renal biopsy. Abd U/S shows that 1% of our cases had a genetic kidney, 1% had hepatic hemangioma, 11% had hepatomegaly, 5% had mild ascites, 2% had nephropathy, 77% of cases were normal, and 3% had splenomegaly. My chest x-ray 7% of our cases had cardiomegaly, 1% had exaggerated broncho vascular markings, 46% had non-homogenous opacities, 41% of our cases were normal, 3% had pericardial effusion, 2% had pleural effusion figure 1.

PFTs were normal in 67% of our cases and restrictive patterns in 33% of our cases. By HRCT 1% of our cases had bilateral lower lung lobe atelectatect band & pleural effusion, 34% had interstitial lung disease, 1% had left moderate pleural effusion & partial lower lobe collapse, 45% of our cases were normal, 3% had pericardial effusion, 13% had pleural effusion, and 3% had pneumonitis. ANAIF pattern was positive anticytoplasmic ribosomal antibody in 1% of our cases, positive homogenous in 24% of cases, 1% had homogenous & speckled pattern, also 1% had a nucleolar pattern, and 68% had speckled pattern (Table 1).

Table 1 shows the ANA pattern

Antinuclear antibodies percentage in our cases, the highest percentage were Anti SS-A/Ro60KD 44% & Anti dsDNA 41% & Anti SS-A/Ro52KD 40%. Also other anti nuclear antibodies with smaller percentages as shown in table 2.

Table 2. ANA profile

We found that 44.1% of ILD cases had positive Anti SS-A/Ro52KD, 38.2% had positive Anti SS-A/Ro60KD, also 38.2% had positive Anti dsDNA, 23.5% of ILD cases had positive Anti Nucleosome, and 14.7% had positive Anti Histones. In our 34 cases with
ILD, there were 70.59% of those cases having ANA speckled pattern, 20.59% of cases had a homogenous pattern, 2.94% of cases had homogenous & speckled pattern, 2.94% of cases had a nucleolar pattern, 2.94% of cases were negative.

In our 34 cases with ILD, there were 14.7% having ANA IF titer 1/40, 29.4% of cases had titer 1/80, 5.88% had titer 1/160, 11.76% had titers 1/320, 14.7% had titer 1/640, 2.94% had titer 1/1520, 8.82% had titer 1/2560, 8.82% had titer 1/5120 & 2.94% were negative.

All cases with ILD had cough and dyspnea, only 85.3% had chest pain, 50% had a fever, 35.3% had expectoration & no ILD cases had hemoptysis.

Regarding the relation between ANA different patterns & chest manifestations in cases with ILD, cases with speckled pattern all of them had cough & dyspnea, 37.5% had expectoration, 83.3% had chest pain, 50% had a fever and no one had hemoptysis. Cases with homogenous patterns all had cough, dyspnea and chest pain, 28.6% had expectoration, 71.4% had fever and no one had hemoptysis. Cases with homogenous & speckled patterns all had cough, dyspnea and chest pain, no one had expectoration nor fever nor hemoptysis. Cases with nucleolar patterns all had a cough, dyspnea, chest pain but no expectoration, fever, hemoptysis. Cases with negative ANA IF had cough, dyspnea, expectoration but no chest pain, fever, hemoptysis. When we made regression analysis between cases of ILD and those with no ILD, we found that ANA (antinuclear antibody) positivity, chest manifestations like (cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever, and hemoptysis) are predictive variates for ILD as shown in table 3.

Multivariate regression analysis is done regarding ANA positivity and chest manifestations between ILD cases and cases with no ILD revealing that ANA and Chest manifestations were not risk factors together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Odd's ratio</th>
<th>95% C.I. for EXP(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-.990</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.464</td>
<td>.372</td>
<td>.026 - 5.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>-19.298</td>
<td>40192.98</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration</td>
<td>20.510</td>
<td>13397.65</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>8.077E8</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p/c ratio</td>
<td>17.777</td>
<td>11746.70</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>52518911.</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.creatinine</td>
<td>20.287</td>
<td>40192.97</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>6.467E8</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renalbiopsy</td>
<td>-18.816</td>
<td>11746.70</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoptysis</td>
<td>21.896</td>
<td>40192.96</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>3.231E9</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>-21.896</td>
<td>13397.65</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expectoration</td>
<td>-17.561</td>
<td>17282.72</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>3.497</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>11.866</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>33.000</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chest pain</td>
<td>.439</td>
<td>23571.33</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fever</td>
<td>21.146</td>
<td>17282.72</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.527E9</td>
<td>.000 - .000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Univariate Binary Regression Analysis between Cases of ILD and controls

**Discussion**

ILD can occur anytime during the course of SLE. On average, it develops in patients with disease duration of 10 years; rarely, it can be a presenting...
feature of SLE\(^9\). The frequency of SLE-related pulmonary damage increases with age and disease duration; thus, clinicians must have a heightened awareness for ILD as they follow SLE patients over time\(^6\). ILD symptoms are non-specific, variable among patients, often insidious, and do not necessarily correspond with radiographic or histologic evidence of ILD. Moreover, less than a third of patients with radiographic evidence of ILD are symptomatic\(^10\). When symptoms arise, dyspnea on exertion, a chronic nonproductive cough, and pleuritic chest pain are common\(^10\).

Previous studies suggested that 2-8% of ILD occurred in SLE and most patients with multisystem disease\(^11\). According to the report of Ghosh A, et al. from India, the prevalence of SLE-ILD was about 10%\(^12\). While Fenlon et al.\(^13\) declared that ILD could be found in one-third of the SLE patients. In a case-series of 18 SLE patients with radiographic evidence of ILD, all had exertional dyspnea, 69% had a productive cough, 66% had pleuritis, 44% had unilateral diaphragm elevation, and 66% had basilar crackles. Cyanosis and clubbing are rare\(^14\). Abrupt onset of fever, cough, pleuritic chest pain and tachypnea commonly mark acute lupus pneumonitis or DAH, a presentation often seen in younger patients (mean age 38 years) and associated with a mortality rate of greater than 50%\(^15\).

HRCT is a sensitive and reasonably accurate tool for the detection of ILD. The previous histological reports about ILD diagnosed by HRCT in SLE were mostly in non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) pattern\(^16\), though usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia (LIP), and organizing pneumonia (OP) all have been described\(^16\).

By HRCT 1% of our cases had bilateral lower lung lobe atelectatic band&pleural effusion, 34% had interstitial lung disease, 1% had left moderate pleural effusion& partial lower lobe collapse, 45% of our cases were normal, 3% had pericardial effusion, 13% had pleural effusion, and 3% had pneumonitis.

It is previously reported that histological analysis could be suggested by HRCT characteristics, such as honeycombing, basilar predominant reticulation and traction bronchiectasis with limited ground glass opacities for usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), as well as predominant bilateral basilar ground-glass opacities with small reticulation and absence of honeycombing for non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP)\(^17\). ILD patients with positive anti-SSA may be described as a homogeneous group with more ground-glass opacity and more severe lung function impairment than those with ILD with negative anti-SSA antibody\(^17\).

ANAIF pattern was positive anticytoplasmic ribosomal antibody in 1% of our cases, positive homogenous in 24% of cases, 1% had homogenous&speckled pattern, also 1% had a nucleolar pattern, and 68% had speckled pattern. Antinuclear antibodies percentage in our cases, the highest percentage were Anti RO60 44%, Anti dsDNA 41%, Anti RO52 40%. Also other antinuclear antibodies with smaller percentages.

44.1% of ILD cases in this study had positive Anti RO52, 38.2% had positive Anti RO60, also 38.2% had positive Anti dsDNA, 23.5% of ILD cases had positive Anti nucleosome, and 14.7% had positive Anti histone.

In our 34 cases with ILD there were 70.59% of those cases having ANA speckled pattern, 20.59% of cases had the homogenous pattern, 2.94% of cases had homogenous&speckled pattern, 2.94 % of cases had nucleolar
pattern, 2.94% of cases were negative. Additionally, in our 34 cases with ILD there were 14.7% having ANA IF titer 1/40, 29.4% of cases had titer 1/80, 5.88% had titre 1/160, 11.76% had titer 1/320, 14.7% had titre1/640, 2.94% had titel/1520, 8.82% had titel/2560, 8.82% had titel/5120 & 2.94% were negative. The relation between ANA different patterns & chest manifestations in our cases with ILD, cases with speckled pattern all of them had cough and dyspnea, 37.5% had expectoration, 83.3% had chest pain, 50% had fever & no one had hemoptysis. Cases with homogenous patterns all had cough, dyspnea, chest pain, 28.6% had expectoration, 71.4% had fever and no one had hemoptysis. Cases with nucleolar patterns all had a cough, dyspnea, chest pain but no expectoration, fever, hemoptysis. Cases with negative ANA IF had cough, dyspnea, expectoration but no chest pain, fever, hemoptysis. According to the previously described relationship between anti-SSA/Ro60 and SLE (18, 19), this anti-Ro specificity was found to be positively associated with hypocomplementemia, an SLE related immunological feature. On the other hand, a negative association was observed between anti-Ro52/TRIM21 and anti-dsDNA which is consistent with the higher prevalence of this antibody in other autoimmune conditions such as SS, myositis, SSc, and liver diseases (20, 21).

When we made regression analysis between cases of ILD and those with no ILD, we found that ANA (antinuclear antibody) positivity, chest manifestations like (cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever, and hemoptysis) are predictive variates for ILD. Multivariate regression analysis is done regarding ANA positivity and chest manifestations between ILD cases and cases with no ILD revealing that ANA and Chest manifestations were not risking factors together.

Similar to our study, when Lian et al. (22) done univariate logistic regression was performed to evaluate all clinical and laboratory parameters. Among all the factors, positive SSA, positive SSB, positive ACL, and positive anti-U1RNP were significantly associated with the occurrence of interstitial lung disease in SLE. In contrast to ours, in their study, when variables associated with outcome at P<0.20 were then summarized into the multivariate logistic model for the calculation of adjusted odds ratio. Positive SSA, positive SSB, positive anti-Scl-70 and dry eyes/mouth turned out to be significant, which could be considered primarily the predictors for interstitial lung disease in SLE.

**Conclusion:** We observed a population of SLE-ILD and concluded that ANA (antinuclear antibody) positivity, chest manifestations like (cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever & hemoptysis) are predictive variates for SLE-ILD. Also, ANAIF speckled pattern has specificity to SLE-ILD and AntiSS-A/Ro52KD antibody is more specific to SLE-ILD. We recommend HRCT and PFT scan in these SLE patients. As most ILD lesions are sensitively observed in HRCT, it is not absolutely applied lung biopsy. Considering that the present study is a retrospective clinical observation and the samples are relatively small, further biological research and prospective study with larger data are required to confirm the predictive value of the indices.
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