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Abstract

Introduction and aim of work Neurocognitive testing, also known as neuropsychological testing, is a performance-based method to assess cognitive functioning by comprehensive evaluation of the patient's cognitive status by specific neurologic domains (attention, memory, judgement, evaluation, reasoning, computation, problem solving, decision making, comprehension and production of language)(Butler et al, 2013). There are many protocols for neurocognitive assessment in paediatrics; we developed new protocol for evaluation of neurocognitive function of paediatric group suitable for healthy and unhealthy children in Egyptian children. The validity and specificity were studied by application the protocol into two groups: one normal kids and the others with NHL treated with intrathecal methotrexate(Dietrich, 2010).

Patients and methods: the first step is to design the protocol for subjective evaluation of neurocognitive function. Second step is applying the protocol on two groups. The group one included 25 NHL(Non Hodgkin Lymphoma) treated children and the group two includes 10 children as controls. The third step to correlate the results with the result of standered test (StanfordBinet test 4th editions).

Result The design protocol is effective to evaluate the neurocognitive function and assess the minimal change as it seen obvious in the difference between the groups and the correlation with the result of StandfordBinet test.

Introduction

Cognition is the set of all mental abilities and processes related to knowledge. Human cognition is conscious and unconscious, concrete or abstract, as well as intuitive and conceptual. Cognitive processes use existing knowledge and generate new knowledge (Anderson and John, 2014).

Neurocognitive testing is used to examine the cognitive consequences of brain damage, brain disease, effect of systemic disease or malignancy, effect of certain medication or modes of therapy and mental illness (Anderson and John, 2014).

Aim of the work

In order to design general protocol for evaluation of neurocognitive function suitable to assess the neurocognitive function in paediatrics groups.

Patients and methods:

1) First step to design protocol for subjective evaluation of neurocognitive function, the design of the protocol passed through these steps:

a- It was established by one paediatrician.

b- Reviewed and revised by the paediatricians of Sohag University pediatric department and phoniatricans of Sohag University phoniatrics unit.

c- To detect its sensitivity and specificity it was applied in 25 patients who receive intrathecal chemotherapy in treatment of Non Hodgkin Lymphoma and 10 healthy children.

d- Statistics: the results were analysed by comparing results with result of Stanford Binet test to assess significance of protocol.

2) second step applying the protocol on two groups: the group one included 25( Non
Developed new protocol for subjective assessment of neurocognitive function in Hodgkin Lymphoma treated children, the group two 10 healthy children to test validity and specificity of the protocol.

3) third step correlate the result of protocol with result of Stanford Binet test (objective evaluation)

Result
I- After application our subjective evaluation by protocol, we assessed:
a- appearance b- motor behaviour c- voice, speech, language d- interaction with examiner e- mood and affect
f- cognitive function (attention, memory, orientation) see appendix 1

II- we assess
the 3 previous cognitive function
1- All children showed good orientation to person, place and time.
2- In memory we covered (recent, immediate and remote memory) there is difference between results between cases and controls but the difference is not significant by subjective evaluation by the protocol table 1 (p value .952)

Table 1 The results of memory study in cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cases</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>+/-13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controls</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>+/- 22.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3- In attention there is difference between cases and controls but it is not significant by subjective evaluation by the protocol table 2 (p value =.971)

Table 2 The results of attention study in cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cases</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>+/- 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controls</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+/- 23.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III- Is there correlation in results between subjective evaluation by protocol and objective evaluation by Stanford Binet test in attention and memory to detect reliability of protocol.

Table 3 Comparison between cases and control in attention and memory by Stanford Binet (objective)

There is no significant difference between cases and controls in attention and memory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable</th>
<th>cases</th>
<th>controls</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>attention</td>
<td>2.27±1.08</td>
<td>2.00±0.94</td>
<td>0.496 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term memory</td>
<td>105.68±22.17</td>
<td>114.70±18</td>
<td>0.26 (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The designed protocol is valid and specific in assessment of some neurocognitive function as the same results obtained from objective evaluation by test and subjective evaluation by protocol.
Discussion
we choosed NHL treated children as this tumor is the 3rd in childhood malignancy and because childhood cancer survivors frequently experience long-lasting consequences of chemotherapy on health outcomes. These consequences affect mental health, school performance, job success, and are associated with poor quality of life. (Gazdzinski et al., 2012)
we need to cover attention, memory, verbal comprehension, visuospatial skills, visuomotor functioning, reading, mathematical skills and executive functions (Buizer et al., 2009) we choose attention and memory in protocol because their fundamental role in neurocognition and participation in many mental skills (Buizer et al., 2009)
The application of this protocol is much easier than specific intelligence test, as it needs only clinician but Standford Binet test needs qualified technician and the results of protocol is correlated with test. also application of protocol is less time consuming and needs less devices and costs less money.
The protocol is effective in assessing the defects in some neurocognitive domains in children.
Appendix 1

New protocol for subjective assessment of neurocognitive function in paediatrics

Mental status examination

1-appearance Height Weight Nutritional status Personal hygiene (compared to family)
2-Motor behaviour
-abnormal movements yes no If yes what is the abnormality
-General level of physical activity according to age (Normal, hyperkinesia, hypokinesia)
- Gross and fine motor coordination
Gross (ask child to arrange some books above each other) Fine (ask child to write or draw)
3-voice Speech Language
4-interaction with the examiner
-eye contact (normal-starring-unfocused-averted)
-cooperative (resistant-shy-aggressive-fearful)
5-mood and affect is there
Anxiety depression Tension elevation of mood apathy non of above
6-cognitive functions
Attention Orientation memory

Attention
To study attention in quasi-objective manner, we transform answer of children into percent according to wrong and right answers, this is score we use

Table 18 attention score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>score</th>
<th>Percent of right answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1-listento the following letters and in between I will say numbers when you listen to a
number say yes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Number of error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>٣٧٨٩ ٥٧٠ س ز</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-listen to the following numbers and inbetween I will say letters when you listen to letter say yes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Number of errors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>٣٧٨٩ ٥٧٠ س ز</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orientation Ask the following questions:

Time
What is the day of the week?What is the date?what is the season?How long have we been talking?

Place
What is this place How did you get here?Is this place from your home?

Person
Tell me your name ?Who am i?what is my job?Why you come to see me? what is the name of your school and in what grade you are?

Evaluation
number of accurate answers

memory
To study memory in quasi-objective manner, we transform answer of children into percent according to wrong and right answers .

Table 19 memory score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>score</th>
<th>Percent of right answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

recent memory
I will tell you 3 things to remember(name (first and last),address of 3 words,color)
And ask him to repeat after few minutes

Evaluation , Accurate ,Need help cant repeat any ,Refused
(ask child to keep things in mind)
Immediate memory
Listen to me and repeat and record the last accurate repetition

83 42 9 6 4 2 1 9 8 5 2 1 3 9 3 5 3 9 6
Evaluation
Unable ,Refused ,The ability to remember
Now tell me the previous 3 things
Remote memory
What is your address?What is your telephone number?Where were you born?
What is date of your birthday?what are your mother ,father ,siblings names?
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