Changes in Steroid Response pattern in Childhood Idiopathic Nephrotic Syndrome in Sohag

Ghada Ashry B. Abd-Elrehim^{*}, Ali Abo-Elmagd Ahmed MD^{*}, Safaa Husein Ali MD^{*}, Naglaa Fawzy Ahmed MD^{*}

¹Pediatric Department, Sohag University Hospital, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt

Abstract

Background and aim of work: Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is a common chronic kidney disease in childhood and it is mostly idiopathic. Steroid response pattern remains the most important issue in prognosis of NS. Increase in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) frequency over years was documented in some studies. This work aimed to demonstrate the change in steroid response pattern of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome (INS) over years in our locality at Sohag in Upper Egypt.

Patients and Methods: Combined retrospective and prospective studies were done; including **280** children with INS, aged from **1** to **15** years, diagnosed and followed in our pediatric renal unit in Sohag University Hospital, Egypt in the period from January **2000** to December **2014**. The patients' demographic features, steroid response pattern in both groups were studied.

Results: A total of **280** patients (**203** in the retrospective group and **77** in the prospective group) with INS were included with mean age at disease onset **4.60±2.58** (range **1-15**) years and male/female ratio **1.8/1.** Mean follow up duration was **4.44±3.24** (range **1-15**) years. Steroid was given to all patients. **94.1%** and **79.2%** of patients in the retrospective and the prospective groups respectively were steroid sensitive, and the rest of patients were steroid resistant. Renal biopsy was done in **36** and **26** patients in the retrospective and prospective studies respectively. Biopsy results revealed minimal change disease (MCD) in **55.6%** and **38.5%**, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) in **22.2%** and **42.3%**, mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis (MesPGN) in **22.2%** and **19.2%** of biopsied patients in the retrospective groups respectively. End stage renal disease (ESRD) was developed in **4** patients all of them were steroid and other immunosuppressive therapies resistant and with FSGS histopathology. Death occurred in **5** patients.

Conclusion: Steroid sensitive NS is the most common form of INS in children but there is increase in the frequency of SRNS over years in our locality.

Key words: idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, steroid resistant, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis.

Introduction

Nephrotic syndrome is one of the most common chronic renal diseases in pediatrics (Kaneko et al, 2015). It has an incidence of 2-16.9 per 100.00 children worldwide (Chanchlani et al, 2016). Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome is the most common type in childhood, representing about 90% of all cases and it is composed of nephrotic syndrome and primary glomerular disease without an identifiable causative disease or infection (Pais et al, 2016). Corticosteroid remains the mainstay of treatment of INS since 1950s till now. Based on the response corticosteroids. children with to idiopathic nephrotic syndrome segregate into a steroid sensitive group (up to 80%) that has a good long-term prognosis, but risk of relapses, and a steroid-resistant group with a higher risk of developing chronic kidney disease (Chanchlani et al, 2016). Increase in SRNS frequency over years was documented in some studies (Kim et al, 2005; Banazak et al, 2012). The most common pathology in steroidresistant nephrotic syndrome is FSGS (Seif et al, 2013). Rise in the frequency of FSGS was documented in several studies all over the world (Srivastava et al, 1999; Felix et al, 1999; Gulati et al, 1999; Kari, 2002; Filler et al, 2003; Banazak et al, 2012; Bakr et al, 2014; Arif et al, 2016). This work aims to demonstrate the changes in steroid response pattern of INS over years in our locality at Sohag in Upper Egypt.

Patients and methods

This study included total of 280 children with INS, aged from 1-15 years, diagnosed and followed in our pediatric nephrology unit in Sohag University Hospital in the period from Jan 2000 to Dec 2014. This work is composed of two parts; retrospective and prospective parts. The involved retrospective part 203 patients with INS diagnosed in the period from Jan 2000 to Jan 2010 and completed their follow up till the end of the study; their previous data were collected from the medical records. The prospective part involved 77 new cases diagnosed and followed in the period from Jan 2010 till Dec 2014. Patients with incomplete data or less than one year follow up duration were excluded.

Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome was diagnosed depending on the presence of nephrotic range proteinuria >40 mg/h/m² or protein/creatinine ratio (uPCR) > 2 g/g and hypoalbuminemia <25 g/l with or without edema in the absence of systemic or extrarenal disorders (Naudet et al, 2009; Pais et al, 2011). In addition to full medical history and detailed physical examination. the following investigations were done for all

patients at presentation: urine analysis by dipsticks and microscopy, urine 24hours proteins or first morning uPCR, serum total protein and albumin, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, total serum cholesterol and triglyceride, full blood count, serum electrolytes, Complement 3 (C3) and abdominal sonography. Antinuclear antibodies (ANA) test was done for patients more than 10 years old at disease onset (Pais et al, 2011; Bagga, 2008).

Steroid (prednisone) was given to all patients in a dose of 2mg/kg/day, for 4-6 weeks, followed by 1.5 mg/kg on alternate days for 4-6 weeks then gradually withdrawn over 2-5 months (Niaudet et al, 2009; Bagga, 2008). The following definitions were used to categorize the steroid response patterns: complete remission (proteinuria $<4 \text{ mg/h/m}^2$, 0-trace on Albustix or uPCR <0.2 g/g); partial remission (proteinuria reduction of 50% or greater from the presenting value and absolute uPCR between 0.2 -2 g/g); steroid response (remission steroid therapy); with relapse (nephrotic range proteinuria for 3 consecutive days after having been in remission); frequent relapses (≥ 2 relapses within 6 months of initial response or ≥ 4 relapses within a period of 12 months); steroid dependence (2 consecutive relapses during steroid therapy or within 14 days after cessation of successful steroid therapy); steroid resistance (failure to achieve remission after 8 weeks of steroid therapy); initial steroid resistant (steroid resistance during the first episode); late steroid resistance (persistent proteinuria during \geq 4 weeks of steroid following one or more remissions) (Niaudet et al, 2009; KDIGO, 2012; Gispon et al, 2009).

Renal biopsy was indicated at presentation for patients with atypical features (age >10 years, persistent hypertension, gross hematuria, renal

impairment not attributable to hypovolemia or low C3) and following therapy initiation for patients with initial or late SRNS and those with steroid dependent NS (SDNS) or frequently relapsing NS (FRNS) before treatment with cyclosporine A (CsA) (Niaudet et al, 2009; Bagga, 2008; Valentini et al, 2007). Informed written consent was taken from the parents before the procedure and the specimens were examined by the same pathologist using light microscopy.

Steroid resistant patients were biopsied and treated with steroid in addition to one or more of the immunosuppressive medications including:

Results

A total of 280 patients (203 patients in the retrospective study and 77 patients in the prospective study) with INS, were included. The mean follow up duration was 4.44 ± 3.24 years (range 1-15 years). Mean age of patients at initial presentation was 4.60 ± 2.58 years (range 1-15 years). There were 180 males and 100 females with male/female ratio 1.8/1.

Steroid therapy was given to all patients. Frequency of steroid resistance was significantly higher in the prospective study (21%) relative to

cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine and mycophenolate mofetil.

Statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) version 16 was used analysis. for data Ouantitative variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparing means for statistical significance. Frequency and percentage were used for qualitative variables. For estimation of differences between qualitative variables, Chisquare was used. *P* values less than **0.05** were considered significant. This study was approved by the ethical

This study was approved by the ethical committee of Sohag University

Renal biopsy was done in **36** patients in the retrospective study and in **26** patients in the prospective study. Indications for renal biopsy in both studies are shown in table (**3**). Renal biopsy results in both retrospective and prospective studies are shown in table (**4**).

Table (3): Indications for renal b	biopsy
in studied patients	

the prospective study (21	/0) lelative	10		in studied	patients	
the retrospective study	(6%) wi	th			Rena	l biopsy
(P=0.000). Steroid respon	nse pa Retn o	spective	Pro	ospective		Total
both retrospective and	prospecti	ve study		study]	Patients
studies is shound in the studies is should be addressed as the studies of the stu	(1C ount	%	Count	%	Count	%
Before steroid therapy	1	2.8%	6	23.1%	7	11.3%
100% 94%		<u>%</u>	14	53.8%	24	38.7%
80%	79	1%	2	7.7%	16	25.8%
60%	_		2	7.7%	10	16.1%
40%		2	_		10	1001/0
6%		1%	2	7.7%	5	8.1%
0%		1%	26	33.8%	62	22.1%
Retrospective stu	dv Prosr	pective				

Figure (1): Steroid response in retrospective and prospective studies

Table (4): Histopathological types in biopsied patients

Histopat Renal biopsy					
hology	Retrosp	Prospe	Tota		

SOHAG MEDICAL JOURNAL	Changes in Steroid Response pattern in Childhood Idiopathic
Vol. 21 No.1 Jan 2017	Ghada Ashry B. Abd-Elrehim

	ective study (n=36)	ctive study (n=26)	l Patie nts (n=6 2)
MCD	20 (55.6%)	10 (38.5%)	30 (48.4 %)
FSGS	8 (22.2%)	11 (42.3%)	19 (30.6 %)
MesPGN	8 (22.2%)	5 (19.2%)	13 (21 %)
P -value	0.227		

MCD, minimal change disease; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; MesPGN, mesangio-proliferative glomerulonephritis Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis was the main histopathological pattern in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) as shown in figure (2).



Figure (2): Histopathological spectrum in biopsied steroid resistant patients There were changes in the steroid response pattern within the same histopathological types in the prospective study relative to the retrospective study as shown in table (5)

The mean age at disease onset of INS was 4.60 ± 2.58 years in the present

	Histopathology								
	Retrosp			Prospect			Total		
	ective		ive			Patients			
	stu	ıdy		Study					
	Μ	F	Μ	Μ	F	Μ	Μ	F	Μ
	С	S	e	С	S	e	С	S	e
	D	G	S	D	G	S	D	G	S
	n	S	Р	n	S	Р	n	S	Р
Ste	=	n	G	=	n	G	=	n	G
roi	2	=	Ν	1	=	Ν	3	=	Ν
d	0	8	n	0	1	n	0	1	n
res			=		1	=		9	=
ро			8			5			1
nse									3
Ste	1	2	5	6	2	2	2	4	7
roi	9	2	6	6	1	4	5	2	5
d	9	5	2	0	8	0	8	1	4
sen	5	%	%	%	%	%	3	%	%
siti	%						%		
ve									
Ste	1	6	3	4	9	3	5	1	6
roi	5	7	3	4	8	6	1	5	4
d	%	5	8	0	2	0	7	7	6
res		%	%	%	%	%	%	9	%
ist								%	
ant									
P -							0.0	00	
val									
ue									

Table (5): Histopathology in relationto steroid response

MCD, minimal change disease; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis;
MesPGN, mesangio-proliferative glomerulonephritis
By the end of the study, out of total studied children, four (1.4%) patients developed ESRD all of them were FSGS and multidrug resistant. Five deaths (1.8%) were present; 3 of them with FSGS and ESRD and 2 of them with MCD and massive sepsis, all of them were steroid and other immunosuppressive therapies resistant.

Discussion

study. This was in accordance to previous other studies (Zaki et al,

1989; Madani et al, 2010; Kaddah et al, 2012; Hacıhamdioğlu et al, 2015). A male preponderance was detected in our study, in agreement with others (Felix et al, 1999; Wong, 2007; Kaddah et al, 2012). Male preponderance in children, with a male to female ratio of up to 3.8:1, is frequently reported in INS (Niaudet et al, 2016).

Statistically significant increase in the frequency of SRNS in our prospective study (21%) relative to the retrospective study (6%) was detected with (P=0.000). This rise in SRNS mostly is related to change in the frequency of the underlying histopathological types with increase in the rate of FSGS and decline in the rate of MCD. Also changes in the pattern of steroid response in patients with MCD have also occurred with increase in SRNS within them.

The rise in the rate of steroid resistance over years has also reported in other studies, as in Kim et al study, which was carried out over the period (1994 to 2003) in Korea, and demonstrated a tendency towards increasing rates of initial steroid resistance in childhood NS, compared with the results of International Study of Kidney Diseases in Childhood (ISKDC) report; 71% in Kim et al. report versus 86% in ISKDC report (Kim et al, 2005). Banaszak et al in Boland revealed increase in the frequency of steroid resistance in his Caucasian patients over years as it increased from 11.8% in the period (1986-1995) to 31.4% in the period (1996-2005) associated with increased FSGS and MesPGN rates in the recent years (Banaszak et al, 2012).

In contrast to this, Nickavar et al, in Iran found a slight decrease in the rate of SRNS from **37.8%** in years (**1991-2002**) to **28.6%** in year (**2005-2012**). These findings were present inspite of insignificant increase in FSGS and decline in MCD and MesPGN in recent years. This can be explained by significant impact of race and geographical distribution on clinical behaviour of INS (Nickavar et al, 2014).

Steroid resistance as an indication for renal biopsy increased from **27.8%** of biopsied cases in the retrospective study to **53.8%** of biopsied cases in the prospective study. This finding supported the concept of the significant increase in the steroid resistance in the prospective study.

In the present study, changes in the frequency the various of histopathological types over years were evident. There was increase in the frequency of FSGS and decrease in the frequency of MCD. Inspite of these changes no statistically significant differences between retrospective and prospective studies in the distribution of the various histopathological types was present (P=0.227). Many studies also documented the increasing frequency focal segmental of glomerulosclerosis in INS over years (Felix et al, 1999, in USA; Kari, 2002 in Saudia Arabia; Bakr et al, 2014 in Lower Egypt).

In conclusion the demographic features of INS in our locality were comparable to those worldwide with its predominance in young age and male sex. There was significant increase in the rate of steroid resistance within patients with INS associated with increase in the frequency of FSGS and increase in steroid resistance within those with MCD.

References

- 1. Arif MK, Arif M, Amjad N (2016) A histopathological outlook on nephrotic syndrome: A pediatric perspective. Indian J Nephrol 26(3):188–191
- **2. Bagga A (2008)** Revised guidelines for management of steroid-sensitive

nephrotic syndrome. Indian J Nephrol **18(1):31–39**

- 3. Bakr A, Eid R, Sarhan A, Hammad A, El-Refaey AM, et al (2014) Pathological profile of biopsied Egyptian children with primary nephrotic syndrome: 15year single center experience J Nephrol 27(4):419-423
- **4. Banaszak B and Banaszak P** (2012) The increasing incidence of initial steroid resistance in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 27:927–932
- **5. Chanchlani R, Parekh RS (2016)** Ethnic Differences in Childhood Nephrotic Syndrome. Frontiers in Pediatrics **4:39**
- 6. Felix MB, Parra C, Dajani T, Ferris M, Rita D, Swinford RD, et al (1999) Changing patterns in the histopathology of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. Kidney International 55:1885–1890
- 7. Filler G, Young E, Geier P, Carpenter B, Drukker A, Feber J (2003) Is there really an increase in non-minimal change nephrotic syndrome in children? Am J Kidney Dis 42:1107–1113
- Gipson DS, Massengill SF, Yao L, Nagaraj S, Smoyer WE, Mahan JD, et al (2009) Management of childhood onset nephrotic syndrome. Pediatrics 124(2):747– 757
- 9. Gulati S, Sharma AP, Sharma, Gupta A (1999) Changing Trends of Histopathology in Childhood Nephrotic Syndrome. American Journal of Kidney Diseases 34(4):646-650
- 10. Hacıhamdioğlu DÖ, Kalman S, Gök F (2015) Long-term results of children diagnosed with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome; single center experience. Türk Ped Arş 50:37-44
- 11. Kaddah A, Sabry S, Emil E, El-Refaey M (2012) Epidemiology

of primary nephrotic syndrome in Egyptian children. J Nephrol 25 (05):732-737

- 12. Kaneko K, Tsuji S, Kimata T, et al (2015) Pathogenesis of childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: a paradigm shift from Tcells to podocytes. World J Pediatr 11:21-28
- 13. Kari JA (2002) Changing trends of histopathology in childhood nephrotic syndrome in western Saudia Arabia. Saudia Med J 23:317–321
- 14. KDIGO (Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes)
 Glomerulonephritis Work Group 2012: KDIGO clinical practice guideline for glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int Suppl 2:139-274
- 15. Kim JS, **Bellew** CA, Silverstein DM. Aviles DH, Boineau FG, Vehaskari VM (2005) High incidence of initial and late steroid resistance in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int 68:1275-1281
- 16. Madani A, Fahimi D **Taghaodi** R, Mahjoob F, Hajizadeh N, Navabi1 B (2010) of An Estimation Steroid Responsiveness Idiopathic of Nephrotic Syndrome in Iranian Children. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics 20:199-205
- 17. Niaudet P, Boyer O: Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in childhood: clinical aspects. In: Avner ED, Harmon WE, Niaudet P, Yoshikawa N editors: Pediatric Nephrology. 6th ed. Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2009; 667-702.
- 18. Niaudet P, Boyer O: Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in childhood: clinical aspects. In: Avner ED, Harmon WE, Niaudet P, Yoshikawa N, Emma F, Goldstein SL editors: Pediatric Nephrology, 6th edition, Heidelberg,

Germany, Springer-Verlag, **2016**; **839-882.**

- 19. Nickavar A, Harahdashti AL
 (2014) Trends in Steroid Response Among Children With Idiopathic Nephrotic Syndrome. Iranian Journal of Kidney Diseases 8(5):389-393
- 20. Pais P, Avner ED: Nephrotic syndrome. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, Geme JW, Schor NF editors: Nelson Textbook of pediatrics, 20th ed, Philadelphia, Elsevier Inc, 2016; 527:2521-2527.
- 21. Pais, Ellis, Avner ED: Nephrotic syndrome. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, Geme JW, Schor NF, Behrman RE, editors: Nelson Textbook of pediatrics 19th ed, Philadelphia, Elsevier Saunders, 2011; 521:1801-1807.
- 22. Seif EI, Eman Ibrahim AS, Elhefnawy NG, Salman MI (2013) Histological patterns of idiopathic steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome in Egyptian children: A single centre study. J Nephropathology 2(1):53-60

- 23. Srivastava T, Simon SD, Alon US (1999) High incidence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in nephrotic syndrome of childhood. Pediatr Nephrol 13:13–18
- 24. Valentini RP, Smoyer WE: Nephrotic syndrome. In: Kher KK, Schnaper HW, Makker SP, editors: Clinical Pediatric Nephrology. Second edition, Informa Healthcare, 2007; 11:155-194.
- 25. Wong W (2007) Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in New Zealand demographic, children, clinical features, initial management and outcome after twelve-month followup: Results of a three-year national surveillance study. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 43:337-341
- 26. Zaki M, Helin I, Manandhar DS, Hunt MCJ, Khalil AF (1989) Primary nephrotic syndrome in Arab children in Kuwait. Pediatr Nephrol 3(2):218-221