The usefulness of Platelet indices in the diagnosis of different causes of thrombocytopenia
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Abstract
Thrombocytopenia is diagnosed when the platelet number is less than the lower normal limit (ie, <150,000/microL [150 x 10⁹/L] for adults, can occur because of lower platelet production (hypo-productive) or increased platelet consumption/destruction (hyper-destructive) and the differentiation between both forms is important. platelet indices as mean platelet volume (MPV), platelet crit (PCT), and (PDW) can help identify both hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia, obviating the need for a bone marrow test. The study included 80 individuals, each of whom had their CBC and platelet indices conducted.

Objective: the main objective of this thesis is to see how effective platelet indices are at distinguishing between the two types of thrombocytopenia.

Results: The study revealed that patients in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenic group were predominantly females, meanwhile, patients in the hypo-productive thrombocytopenia group were predominantly males. WBCs, reticulocyte count, hemoglobin level, and platelet indices (MPV, PCT, PDW) were much higher in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia group.

Conclusion: Platelet indices can help differentiate both hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia, obviating the need for a bone marrow test.
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Introduction
A platelet number fewer than the normal cutoff threshold, which in adults is 150,000/microL, is referred to as thrombocytopenia¹). In medical practice, thrombocytopenia seems to be the most prevalent reason for a hematologic consultation, which is also one of the first most life-threatening illnesses²). Maybe because of a decrease in platelet synthesis (hypo-productive) or acceleration in platelet consumption /destruction (hyper-destructive) thrombocytopenia³). Hematological malignancies (leukemia, myeloma, myelodysplasia, and myelofibrosis), cytotoxic drugs or radiotherapy, infections (human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), hepatitis B, and C), aplastic and megaloblastic anemia, and generalized bone marrow failures are all examples of hypo-productive thrombocytopenia⁴). Idiopathic/primary autoimmune (ITP), Secondary (systemic lupus erythematosus, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, lymphoma), Infections (HIV, hepatitis B, and C, malaria), Drug-induced (rifampicin, penicillin, sulphonamides, He-
parin, quinine), and disseminated intra-
vascular hemolysis (DIC) are all exa-
amples of hyper-destructive thrombocy-
tepenia, platelet indices as mean plate-
elet volume (MPV), platelet crit (PCT),
and (PDW) can help identify both hyper-
destructive and hypo-productive thr-
ombocytopenia, obviating the need for a
bone marrow test (2).

Materials and Methods

Time frame: between (January 2018-
August 2019).

Study population: This study was co-
ducted on 80 consecutive patients, fu-
filling inclusion and exclusion criteria,
who attended at internal medicine de-
partment hematology unit, Sohag univ-
ersity hospital during the study period.

Inclusion criteria:
1. Age 18 years old or above.
2. Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpu-
ra “ITP”, disseminated intravascular
coaagulation “DIC” patients with thr-
ombocytopenia and platelet number
less than 150 x 10^9/L.
3. Patients newly diagnosed with apla-
astic anemia, myelodysplastic syn-
drome “MDS”, leukemia with thro-
mobocytopenia.

Exclusion criteria:
1. ITP patients with a platelet count
150,000 or more on treatment.
2. Patients under the age of 18 years
old.
3. Patients who received platelet
transfusion. The

The included patients were classified
into two groups:
a) Patients with hyper-destructive thro-
mobocytopenia: included 36 patients
newly diagnosed with ITP, DIC, and TTP.
b) Patients with hypo-productive thro-
mobocytopenia: included 44 patients
newly diagnosed with aplastic ane-
ia, MDS, and leukemias.

Methods

Full history was taken from all par-
ticipants including age, gender, dia-
betic or hypertensive, special habits as
well as a history of bleeding tendency
and chronic diseases.

Blood samples for complete blood cou-
t (CBC) analysis and platelet indices
were collected into 5 ml EDTA anti-
coagulated tubes. Analysis was done
using Cell dyne ruby based on the
manufacturer’s protocol. All blood sa-
mple were analyzed in less than 4 h of
blood collection.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected, revised, coded,
tabulated, and analyzed using STATA
version 14.2 (Stata Statistical Soft-
ware: Release 14.2 College Station, TX:
StataCorp LP). Quantitative data were
presented as mean, standard deviation-
s, and ranges. Meanwhile, qualitative
variables were presented as numbers
and percentages. The appropriate test
of significance was conducted, and the
Spearman correlation test was used to
test for correlation between continuous
variables. The confidence interval was
set to 95% and the margin of error
accepted was set to 5%. So, the p-
value was considered significant at the level
of < 0.05.

Results

A very highly statistically significant
difference (p<0.0001) was found bet-
ween both groups as regards gender
with 61.22% of females being in the
hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia g-
roup and 80.65% of males being in the
hypo-productive thrombocytopenia gr-
group. Meanwhile, no statistically signif-
icant differences were found between
both groups as regards age, diabetics,
hypertensive, but there was a stati-
istically significant difference between
both groups as regards bleeding score
(Table 1).
Table (1): Comparison between hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia groups as regards clinical characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia (N=36)</th>
<th>Hypo-productive thrombocytopenia (N=44)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>37.64±14.50</td>
<td>36.43±14.51</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>39 (17-70)</td>
<td>39.5 (13-65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30 (78.75%)</td>
<td>19 (20.35%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (22.25%)</td>
<td>25 (80.65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>9 (24.28%)</td>
<td>12 (28.80%)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic</td>
<td>27 (75.72%)</td>
<td>28 (71.20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.08±0.65</td>
<td>2.57±1.38</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>1 (0:3)</td>
<td>3 (0:4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>8 (23.25%)</td>
<td>36 (76.75%)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hypertensive</td>
<td>31 (84.40%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highly statistically significant differences were found between both groups as regards reticulocyte count as well as hemoglobin level with higher mean values in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenic group. Meanwhile, no statistically significant differences were found between both groups as regards MCV or coagulation profile (Table 2).

Table (2): Comparison between hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia groups as regards laboratory findings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia (N=36)</th>
<th>Hypo-productive thrombocytopenia (N=44)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>12.48±16.00</td>
<td>8.60±11.51</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>7.75 (1.9-80)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.2-9.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.38±2.22</td>
<td>7.13±1.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>10 (4.5-12.2)</td>
<td>7.2 (2.8-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reticulocyte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.40±1.24</td>
<td>0.91±0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>1 (0.01-6)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.4-2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>83.58±7.51</td>
<td>87.55±10.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>82 (74-104)</td>
<td>87 (64.5-110)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>38.52±23.51</td>
<td>34.92±20.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>30 (9.4-107)</td>
<td>30 (10.1-91.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation profile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>34 (94.44%)</td>
<td>44 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged INR, PT</td>
<td>2 (5.56%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant statistical difference was observed between both groups as regards platelet count. Meanwhile, platelet indices mean values (MPV, PCT, PDW) were significantly higher in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia group compared to the hypo-productive group (Table 3).
Table (3): Comparison between hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia groups as regards platelet count and indices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia (N=36)</th>
<th>Hypo-productive thrombocytopenia (N=44)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platelets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>38.52±23.51</td>
<td>34.92±20.64</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>30 (9.4-107)</td>
<td>30 (10.1-91.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>12.02±2.41</td>
<td>7.65±1.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>12 (8-16)</td>
<td>7 (6-15.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.04±0.02</td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02-0.10)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01-0.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDW</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>24.35±1.61</td>
<td>19.23±2.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>24.5 (21.3-27)</td>
<td>19.75 (9-23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): B.M aspiration among thrombocytopenic patients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyper destructive thrombocytopenic group</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>31 (38.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>3 (3.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>2 (2.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypo productive thrombocytopenic group</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>2 (2.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>11 (13.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplastic anemia</td>
<td>13 (16.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML</td>
<td>3 (3.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>15 (18.75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significant inverse relationship was found between MPV, and platelet counts in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenic group (Figure 1).

**Figure (1):** Correlation between platelet count and MPV in hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia (r = -0.45, p = 0.007)

Meanwhile, a significant linear correlation was found between platelet count and PCT in both hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia (figure 2, figure 3).
**Discussion**

It is important to differentiate between thrombocytopenia resulting from hypo-production of platelets from that resulting from hyper-destruction and to know which is more dominant. Measurement of the platelet indices. An automated hematological analyzer is easy, affordably priced, rapid test, and eliminates examiner bias. It also avoids variations in platelet size caused by increased adhesion strength with flattening and increasing size of the platelets on the glass slide throughout blood smears, as well as changes caused by the delayed time between venipuncture and smear preparation. This study revealed that patients in the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenic group were predominantly females; whereas patients in the hypo-productive thrombocytopenic group were predominantly males and similar findings were previously reported. This gender distribution could be attributed to epidemiological differences in the incidence and prevalence of chronic ITP, which is more prevalent in females (in women of childbearing age) compared to males with a ratio of 2 to 3, while CML is more common in old ages and males than females with ratios of 1.5 to 1.8. Higher hemoglobin levels were reported in this research. In the hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia group with no difference between both gro-
ups as regards the MCV and this was like findings in a previous study\(^{(2)}\). No significant statistical difference was found between the two groups as regards the platelet count in the current study and this finding was reported in previous studies\(^{(2,9)}\).

The current study found that platelet indices (MPV, PCT, and PDW) were significantly higher in the group with hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia when compared to the hypo-productive group. Newly generated platelets are larger than circulating platelets, which explains the high MPV in ITP, which tends to decrease in size with age in the circulation over 7-10 days platelet life span. Thus, in patients with hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia, the MPV is increased, reflecting active bone marrow compensation with the release of young platelets\(^{(14,15)}\). The increased bone marrow synthesis of platelet is also associated with heterogenic populations of platelet (anisocytosis) resulting in higher PDW in hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia\(^{(16)}\). MPV and PDW were observed to be significantly higher in the ITP-group when compared to the non-ITP group in many previous studies\(^{(2,17,18)}\). Also, similar findings were reported by Xu et al.\(^{(19)}\) when comparing PDW between ITP group with the bone marrow dysfunction group, in whom higher PDW results were obtained compared with the ITP group and they commented that this was consistent with a significant bone marrow dysplasia. However, conflicting results were reported by Elsewefy et al.\(^{(20)}\) as they found that there was no significant difference between both groups (ITP patients' group and hypo productive thrombocytopenia patients), regarding PDW. Both mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution (PDW) width are accurate tests for detecting ITP. They are also considered assays with 100 percent sensitivity and specificity when diagnosing ITP\(^{(16,21)}\).

PCT is not regarded as a good index for distinguishing between both groups of thrombocytopenia because it is derived from PDW multiplied by platelet number, it is influenced by decreases in platelet number\(^{(22)}\).

The current study revealed a substantial inverse association between platelet number and MPV, in addition to a significant linear correlation between PCT and platelet number in both thrombocytopenic groups. A prior study reported a significant negative correlation between platelet number and platelet indices in ITP patients\(^{(9)}\). Katti et al. reported conflicting results\(^{(23)}\), in the hypo-production group, they discovered a significant linear correlation between platelet count and MPV, as well as an inverse relationship between platelet count and PDW in the hyper-destruction group. This discrepancy in results could be due to co-existing diseases that influence platelet indices.

**Conclusion**
Platelet indices can help differentiate both hyper-destructive and hypo-productive thrombocytopenia, obviating the need for a bone marrow test.

**Recommendations**
Platelet indices should be given more attention and concern in the evaluation of patients with thrombocytopenia.

**Ethical approval**
The study protocol received approval from Sohag university hospital, faculty of medicine. Administrative approval and official permissions were obtained before data collection. Informed consent was obtained from patients included in the study following a guarantee of data confidentiality to them.
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